

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,409	02/05/2004	Michael Brand	1584.1005	3865
75158 7500 H1/26/2008 Lawrence A. Aaronson, P.C. Lawrence A. Aaronson 12850 Highway 9 Suiic #600 PMB 338 Alpharetta, GA 30004			EXAMINER	
			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2009	EL ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LARRY@AARONSON.COM

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/771,409 BRAND, MICHAEL Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner ANGELA A. ARMSTRONG 2626 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-92 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-92 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application.

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 8, 2008, has been entered.

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed September 8, 2008, in which applicant has amended claims 1, 33, 65, and 79. Currently, claims 1-92 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim(s) 1-52 are rejected under 35 USC 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory classes of invention. While the claim(s) recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 USC 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a manufacture or a machine), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. The instant claim(s) neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively recite structure associated with another statutory category, and therefore do not define a statutory process.

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-14, 26-46, 58-72, and 79-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reich (US Patent Application No. 2002/0173955).

Regarding claim 1, Reich discloses a method of processing outputs of an automatic system for probabilistic detection of events, comprising: collecting statistics related to observed outputs of the automatic system; and using the statistics to process automatically an original output sequence of the automatic system and produce an alternate output sequence, by at least one of supplementing and replacing at least part of the original output sequence (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 2, Reich discloses at least part of the alternate output sequence contains information that can be used by systems that can use the at least part of the original output sequence directly (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 3, Reich discloses data in the alternate output sequence includes confidence assessments regarding parts of at least one of the original and alternate output sequences, where the confidence assessments supplement data in the original output sequence (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,409

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 4, Reich discloses data in the alternate output sequence includes confidence assessments regarding parts of at least one of the original and alternate output sequences, where the confidence assessments replace at least part of the original output sequence (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 5, Reich discloses the alternate output sequence includes information of a plurality of alternatives that can replace at least part of the original output sequence that can be used by systems that can use the at least part of the original output sequence directly (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 6, Reich discloses data in the alternate output sequence includes confidence assessments regarding parts of the alternatives, where the confidence assessments supplement data in the original output sequence (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 7, Reich discloses data in the alternate output sequence includes confidence assessments regarding parts of the alternatives, where the confidence assessments replace at least part of the original output sequence (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 8, Reich discloses collecting comprises at least one of noting and estimating correctness of at least one event that the automatic system detected (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 9, Reich discloses collecting comprises at least one of noting and estimating at least one detectable event that has transpired in correspondence with at least part of the original output sequence produced by the automatic system (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,409

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 10, Reich discloses the detected events involve word recognition (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 11, Reich discloses the automatic system is an automatic speech recognition system (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 12, Reich discloses the automatic speech recognition system operates on low-grade audio signals having word recognition precision below 50 percent; and wherein said method further comprises utilizing human transcription of the low- grade audio signals as a source for data relating to the statistics being collected (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 13, Reich discloses the automatic probabilistic event detection system is an automatic character recognition system (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 14, Reich discloses the alternate output sequence includes an alternate recognition score for at least one of the words (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 26, Reich discloses comprising repeating said collecting on several statistically different training materials (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 27, Reich discloses collecting uses samples of statistically different sets of materials as initial training material (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 28, Reich discloses identifying parameters that remain invariant between the statistically different sets of materials (paragraphs 10020, 0022-0023, 0025-00361).

Regarding claim 29, Reich discloses identifying improves estimation of at least one of the parameters (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,409

Art Unit: 2626

Regarding claim 30, Reich discloses identifying is used to enable training when available statistically self-similar sets of materials are too small to allow effective training (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 31, Reich discloses identifying is used to increase effectiveness of further training on material that is not statistically similar to initial training material (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claim 32, Reich discloses material used for said collecting is statistically similar to material used during said using (paragraphs [0020, 0022-0023, 0025-0036]).

Regarding claims 33-46 and 58-64, Reich discloses the invention can be implemented within a computer system with a CPU and various memory devices (paragraph [0022]) which provides support for the computer readable medium for controlling at least one computer system that performs method systems are rejected under similar rationale as claims 1-14 and 26-46.

Regarding claims 65-72, Reich discloses the invention can be implemented within a computer system with a CPU and various memory devices (paragraph [0022]) which provides support for the apparatus for processing outputs and are rejected under similar rationale as claims 1-14 and 26-46.

Regarding claims 79-86, Reich discloses the invention can be implemented within a computer system with a CPU and various memory devices (paragraph [0022]) which provides support for the system for processing outputs and are rejected under similar rationale as claims 1-14 and 26-46.

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-25, 47-57, 73-78 and 87-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reich in view of McDonough (US Patent No. 5,625,748).

Regarding claims 15-25, 47-57, 73-78 and 87-92, Reich fails to teach building a first model modeling behavior of the automatic system as a process with at least one inner state. which may be unrelated to inner states of the automatic system, and inferring the at least one inner state of the process from the observed outputs of the automatic system; building a second model, based on the statistics obtained by said collecting, to infer data to at least one of supplement and replace at least part of the original output sequence from the at least one inner state of the process in the first model. McDonough discloses a system for topic discrimination using posterior probability scores or confidence scores, such that topic modeling is the process of constructing a probability model for the word or event occurrence patterns observed in given speech; probability models are trained using sets of word occurrence statistics; and is used to select a subset of the potential speech events (Figures 1-3; col. 5, line 42 continuing to col. 6, line 53 and col. 11, line 65 continuing to col. 12, line 64), and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Reich so as to improve the performance of the recognizer by making direct use of the confidence scores, as suggested by McDonough at col. 4, lines 15-20.

Art Unit: 2626

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 8, 2008, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Reich does not teach processing automatically an original output sequence of an automatic. The Examiner cannot concur. Reich provides statistics of the word recognition candidates that are generated by the automatic speech recognizer. The word candidates are judged and the word candidates which meet or exceed a confidence score or threshold are judged to be the N-best hypothesis word candidates. The recognition of the speech input, the generation of the word candidates, the judging of the word candidates, and the generation of the N-best word candidates are all performed (processed) automatically and without the user's input.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANGELA A. ARMSTRONG whose telephone number is (571)272-7598. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 11:30-8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick N. Edouard can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Angela A Armstrong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626